

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,074	02/04/2004	Masahiro Hatashita	81710.0265	4525
26021 HOGAN & HA	7590 10/29/2007	EXAMINER		
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS			DEWS, BROOKE J	
SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELE	S CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
E007H10EEE			2182	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/772,074	HATASHITA, MASAHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brooke J. Dews	2182		

• .,						
	Brooke J. Dews	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in control of the reply missing	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	eçause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected to:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal Will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 11.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		>			
3. Other:						
	,	//				
,	SUPER	KIM HUYNH VISORY PATEŅT E	XAMINER			
			102			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner traverse applicant's arguments. It appears applicant did not interpret the rejection according to examiners intentions, however, examiner took applicant's arguments into consideration and they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are summarized as: There is no disclosure or suggestion that the scanned data is converted into a second data format in the scanner, Ott simply transmits the scanned data to the printer without data format conversion and would require a conversion function in the printer, as needed conventionally. Moreover neither the Action nor the reference provide any teaching or motivation to combine Shih, Ohara, and Ott references. In response to the arguments above, examiner notes that Ott discloses obtaining scaned data by scanning (converting a document, photograph, into a digital electronic signal representative of the scanned object), 1st conversion, and converting the scanned data (electronic signals) into a data format for printing (by electronic signals being subjected to further processing and analysis and sent to an output device). (Found in Column 1 lines 10-15 of Ott) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the functions of Ott into Shih for the benefit of maintaining high image quality while permitting improved scanning speed and improved perceived resolution. (Column 1 lines 51-54 of Ott) .